IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.	Civil Action No. 1:23cv0108 (LMB/JFA)
GOOGLE LLC,)
Defendant.)) _)

<u>ORDER</u>

On April 26, 2024, defendant filed a motion to seal various pleadings involving four separate motions – a motion for summary judgment, a motion to exclude testimony from Robin Lee, a motion to exclude testimony from Adoria Lim, and a motion to exclude testimony of Timothy Simcoe. (Docket no. 605). Defendant also filed a combined set of 99 exhibits in support of these four separate motions and has requested in this motion to seal that certain exhibits be filed under seal or that certain information in those exhibits be filed under seal. (Docket nos. 581-586, 588, 591, 595, 597-604). This combined set of exhibits is not separated by the individual motions they are filed in support of and several of the motions only involve a portion of these exhibits. The memorandum in support of this omnibus motion to seal includes appendix A in which defendant states it provides the basis for "the material it is requesting remain under seal" and information that plaintiffs or non-parties have designated as confidential. (Docket nos. 606).

¹ This appendix addresses only the exhibits that were filed in support of the various motions and does not include any explanation, or support for, the various redactions in the memoranda filed by defendant.

Given defendant's approach in combining several separate substantive motions in a single motion to seal, the court will be addressing portions of this motion to seal in individual orders relating to the specific substantive motions. This order will address the motion to seal portions of the memorandum in support of defendant's motion to exclude the testimony of Adoria Lim. (Docket no. 576, 577). Since only a portion of the combined set of exhibits relates to the motion to exclude testimony from Ms. Lim (e.g. Exhibits 1, 5, 38, 62, 65, 68, 79, 97, 98, 99), the court will issue a separate order that resolves the portion of the motion to seal relating to the combined set of exhibits once the redactions for the four separate motions are addressed.

Initially, the court finds that the materials filed relating to this motion would require an analysis of the public's access under the First Amendment standard requiring that access may be restricted only if it is necessitated by a compelling government interest and the denial of access is narrowly tailored to serve that interest. See Va. Dep't of State Police v. Wash. Post, 386 F.3d 567, 575 (4th Cir. 2004); Doe v. Pub. Citizen, 749 F.3d 246, 266 (4th Cir. 2014).

The memorandum in support of the motion to exclude testimony from Ms. Lim filed in the public record includes redactions on pages 3-10, 13-16, 19, and 22-25. (Docket no. 576). Defendant has not provided any specific support for having this redacted information remain under seal in its memorandum in support. (Docket no. 606). Plaintiffs' "omnibus response to motions to seal" also does not include any support for having the information redacted in the memorandum remain under seal. (Docket no. 823). The memorandum in support of the motion to exclude testimony from Ms. Lim does not include any specific non-party information and no non-party has requested that any of the redacted information remain under seal. The parties and non-parties have requested that certain information embedded in several of exhibits cited in the

memorandum in support of the motion to exclude testimony from Ms. Lim should remain under seal, but not the information contained in the memorandum.

Having reviewed the memorandum in support of the motion to exclude testimony from Adoria Lim, the submissions of the parties and non-parties, and taking into account the Fourth Circuit's directives, the court finds the redacted information is not of a nature that would justify restricting it from public access and the motion to seal this memorandum is denied.

In accordance with this Order, within seven days defendant shall file in the public record an unredacted version of the memorandum in support of the motion to exclude testimony from Adoria Lim.

Entered this 12th day of July, 2024.

John F. Anderson

United States Magistrate Judge

John F. Anderson

Alexandria, Virginia United States Magistrate Judge